

## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 264686

63

ORIGIN ARA-10

INFO OCT-01 DLOS-04 OFA-01 IO-10 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00

DODE-00 PA-02 PRS-01 ISO-00 /036 R

DRAFTED BY ARA/P :J SANS R

APPROVED BY ARA/PAF:PENIEBURG

----- 054089

P 072307Z NOV 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO ALL AMERICAN REPUBLIC DIPLOMATIC POSTS PRIORITY

USCINCSO

UNCLAS STATE 264686

E.O. 11652:N/A

TAGS: PLOS

SUBJECT: MEXICAN EXTENSION OF COASTAL ECONOMIC ZONE TO  
200-MILES

FOLLOWING EXCHANGE AT DEPARTMENT NOON PRESS BRIEFING.

Q: I HAVE GOT A QUESTION HERE, BOB. THE FIRST ONE IS,  
HOW DOES THE EXTENSION OF THE FISHING LIMIT FROM MEXICO  
AFFECT THE FISHING BOATS OFF TEXAS?

A: AT THE MOMENT, IT DOES NOT HAVE ANY EFFECT, BECAUSE  
I THINK THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS IN MEXICO HAS NOT BEEN  
COMPLETED YET.

NOW, I THINK OUR POSITION WITH REGARD TO THE QUESTION  
OF THE 200-MILE LIMIT -- I ASSUME YOU KNOW OUR POSITION  
ON THIS VERY, VERY WELL, AND WE FEEL THAT THE WAY TO  
DEAL WITH THIS QUESTION AND OTHER QUESTIONS WITH REGARD  
TO OCEANIC MATTERS, SHALL WE SAY -- DEEP SEA BEDS, AND  
SO FORTH -- IS TO TRY AND ARRIVE AT MULTILATERAL AGREE-  
MENT, WHICH WE CERTAINLY HOPE WILL BE TAKEN -- DECISIONS ON  
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 264686

WHICH WE HOPE WILL BE TAKEN AT THE LAW OF THE SEA CON-

REFERENCE. AND WE FEEL THAT UNILATERAL ACTIONS BY GOVERNMENTS ARE NOT HELPFUL IN ANY WAY WHATSOEVER.

Q: ANOTHER QUESTION WAS CONCERNING, NOW, PROBABLY BEFORE THE NEW YEAR, PROBABLY WITHIN THE NEXT MONTH, THE U.S. WILL HAVE THEIR 200-MILE LIMIT. WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO TELL SOVIET RUSSIA, BECAUSE THEY ARE FISHING RIGHT OFF YOUR COAST?

A: ON THIS, AS YOU ARE FULLY AWARE AND AS WE ALL ARE, THIS IS A MATTER BEFORE THE CONGRESS RIGHT NOW. WE HAVE BEEN AND WILL CONTINUE TO DISCUSS, PRESENT OUR VIEWS TO THE CONGRESS. WE DO NOT FAVOR, AS I SAID A MINUTE AGO UNILATERAL ACTION. AND WE WOULD HOPE THAT THE CONGRESS WOULD EVENTUALLY AGREE WITH OUR POSITION THAT THE BEST WAY TO PROTECT AMERICAN INTERESTS IN THIS WHOLE AREA IS BY MULTILATERAL NEGOTIATION AND THE CONCLUSION OF A MULTILATERAL TREATY, BECAUSE OUR INTERESTS JUST WILL NOT BE SERVED IF WE GO OFF ON A UNILATERAL ROUTE. THEN, AS I THINK YOU INDICATED, OR IMPLIED, IT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO PERSUADE OTHER COUNTRIES NOT TO GO OFF ON A UNILATERAL ROUTE.

SO I DO NOT WANT TO PREDICT WHAT THE OUTCOME WILL BE ON THE HILL.

Q: WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE MULTILATERAL NEGOTIATIONS?

A: ON DEEP SEA BEDS, THERE HAS NOT BEEN AS MUCH PROGRESS AS WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE, BUT WE ARE IN INTENSIVE CONSULTATION WITH THE GOVERNMENTS PRIMARILY CONCERNED. THE NEXT ACTUAL MEETING OF THE LAW OF THE SEA CONFERENCE IS IN MARCH, AND I BELIEVE THE SECRETARY INDICATED UP IN MONTREAL, IF I AM NOT MISTAKEN, THAT THERE MIGHT VERY WELL BE TWO SESSIONS, TWO CONFERENCES, OR TWO SESSIONS OF THE SAME CONFERENCE, NEXT YEAR IN THE HOPE THAT WE CAN CONCLUDE A COMPREHENSIVE LAW OF THE SEA IN 1976. THIS IS THE ROUTE THAT WE ARE GOING DOWN.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 264686

Q: WHERE IS THE MEETING GOING TO BE?

A: IN NEW YORK IN MARCH

Q: BOB, WILL THE SECRETARY RECOMMEND THAT THE PRESIDENT VETO ANY EXTENSION BY CONGRESS OF THE 200-MILE FISHING LIMIT?

A: I DO NOT KNOW. AT THIS POINT, WE ARE HAVING, I  
THINK, VERY USEFUL CONSULTATIONS -- CARL MAW AND OTHERS  
-- ON THE HILL WITH INTERESTED COMMITTEES. I AM NOT  
SURE THAT THIS QUESTION HAS BEEN DIRECTLY ADDRESSED YET,  
SO I CANNOT PREDICT. KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** TERRITORIAL SEA LIMIT, PRESS CONFERENCES, TWO HUNDRED MILE LIMIT, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS, FISHING GROUNDS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 07 NOV 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** n/a  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** n/a  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:**  
**Disposition Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE264686  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** J SANS R  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750388-0652  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19751166/aaaachhz.tel  
**Line Count:** 120  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN ARA  
**Original Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 20 NOV 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <20 NOV 2003 by chicheje>; APPROVED <10 FEB 2004 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** MEXICAN EXTENSION OF COASTAL ECONOMIC ZONE TO 200-MILES  
**TAGS:** PLOS, PFOR, US, MX  
**To:** ALL POSTS USCINCSO  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006